

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jessica Harrison <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 4:56 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic Modification is inherently unstable. Now we are meant to accept products from “New GM” techniques into our diets ! This not acceptable and FSANZ should do what they are mandated to do – regulate our food and refuse to allow anything from new GM techniques such as CRISPR, gene editing, RNA interference, null segregants, cisgenesis, intragenesis etc etc. to be included in food products.

Please answer my letter to put my mind at rest.

Precaution is the best way forward !

Yours sincerely, Jessica Harrison Wonthaggi, Victoria, 3995, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Jessica Harrison via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jessica provided an email address (jessicaharrison1@bigpond.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jessica Harrison at jessicaharrison1@bigpond.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Anne Pead <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 5:27 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

To whom it may concern

I am deeply concerned over the growing and use in food production and feed of GMO in Australia. Changing the genetics of organisms can have far reaching consequences that I do not believe are being adequately tested. GMO, CRISPR and gene editing are NOT the same as old school farming of hybridization.

As a consumer I do not wish to eat GMO food and would like it properly labelled in Australia so that I can make an informed choice in my food choices. Just as it is my right as a consumer to read a label and decide how much sugar, additives or gluten etc. I would like to include in my, and my family's food consumption, I should have the right to decide if I wish to consume a GMO product. Without labelling my right to make an informed choice is removed.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

Yours sincerely, Anne Pead Berwick, Victoria, 3806, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Anne Pead via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Anne provided an email address (annepead@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Anne Pead at annepead@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Alison Jones <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 5:50 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear FSANZ

As a Mother in North Queensland we are very blessed with beautiful fresh fruit and vege grown by local farmers.
As a family with 2 children I do not wish to purchase or see in our stores genetically grown foods, especially when our farmers have an over abundance , it is not necessary . Also knowing there is no safety testing this is very risky to everyones health. We are happy with the food grown naturally here for 100s of years in Queensland .
If you proceed with GM foods in our stores , I demand as a consumer that they are labelled as I do not wish to ever eat them .

Yours sincerely, Alison Jones Cairns North, Queensland, 4870, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Alison Jones via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Alison provided an email address (alison@amjphotography.com.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Alison Jones at alison@amjphotography.com.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Fiona Ansell <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 6:08 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Compose your email

Title

First name

Last name

Email

Mobile Phone

State

Postal Code

Email Tips – Here are some points you could include in your own comments:

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Fiona Ansell Lilli Pilli, New South Wales, 2536, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Fiona Ansell via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Fiona provided an email address (janeyansell@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Fiona Ansell at janeyansell@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Ronald Singer <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 6:14 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago. Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Genetic drift is also well known to occur, and poses a risk to the natural flora and fauna unique to Australia. GMO's and CRISPR are not worth the risk.

All people have the right to know what is in their food, and where their food comes from.

Yours sincerely, Ronald Singer Nashua, New South Wales, 2479, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Ronald Singer via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Ronald provided an email address (rjfromearth@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Ronald Singer at rjfromearth@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Donna Evans <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 7:30 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Donna Evans

_____ This email was sent by Donna Evans via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Donna provided an email address (deva@donnaevans.net) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Donna Evans at deva@donnaevans.net.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Gabriel Hein <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 7:39 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please ban the growing & the use of Genetically modified foods, Animals and microbes, it's not worth taking any health risks & the risks of polluting the gene pools of useful food crops that we already grow.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Gabriel Hein Mullumbimby, New South Wales, 2482, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Gabriel Hein via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Gabriel provided an email address (stykball@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Gabriel Hein at stykball@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jody Hawkins <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 8:25 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants. CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments. Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval. RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food. GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

Yours sincerely, Jody Hawkins Little Mountain, Queensland, 4551, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Jody Hawkins via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jody provided an email address (jodyhawkins1@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jody Hawkins at jodyhawkins1@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Roger Kirby <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 8:58 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Evolution has taken billions of years to get it right. Genetic Modification is being added to evolution in a very short timescale. We can have no idea of the long term effects, It is in effect an experiment with nature and with humanity. I don't believe we have the capacity to get it right in the same way as nature has. I would therefore urge you to be very cautious with this technology.

Yours sincerely, Roger Kirby

_____ This email was sent by Roger Kirby via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Roger provided an email address (r_m_kirby@yahoo.co.uk) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Roger Kirby at r_m_kirby@yahoo.co.uk.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Kenneth Burke <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 8:58 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Please reconsider your position on new techniques for modifying genomes. If there is one thing we should learn from the recent past, it's that Corporations behave only in their own interests. Strong regulations and mandatory safety testing by independent laboratories and academics not funded by corporates is needed.

The safety of humans and animals must be protected ahead of the profits that biotechnology companies might make from exploiting these new technologies.

Yours sincerely, Kenneth Burke

_____ This email was sent by Kenneth Burke via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Kenneth provided an email address (kenburkeuk@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Kenneth Burke at kenburkeuk@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Dindy Vaughan <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 9:04 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

There is a growing body of scientific evidence showing that genetic modification of plants and animals is neither safe (eg glyphosate) nor necessary (cf organic methods of farming). This is a grab for wealth, control and power by old-style tyrants in C21st clothing.

Yours sincerely, Dindy Vaughan Croydon, Victoria, 3136, Australia

_____ This email was sent by Dindy Vaughan via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Dindy provided an email address (gallerywithoutwalls@netspace.net.au) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Dindy Vaughan at gallerywithoutwalls@netspace.net.au.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Mona El Baradie <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 9:14 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Most people, me included do not wish any genetically modified food, be it plants or animals, or any other genetically modified organisms that might end up in the environment and have consequences that we are not able to control. No matter what technique, new or old, you use or plan on using, you and your “scientists” have no clue what long term effects this will have. The effects of GM-foods in countries where such foods have been consumed for many years are catastrophic. The health in the US has never been so bad. We do not want more of this, but we want it to stop, to be forbidden worldwide, once and for all. It is not ours to play God. We want to go back to healthy foods, like nature has meant them to be. This includes getting rid of hybrid seeds, that have no other purpose than to enrich criminal companies.

If you have children, grand-children, think what of you are doing and what hell their lives will end up being because of bad decisions you made today, decisions taken under the influence of some big companies that have been making money on the back of our health.

So please, refuse to introduce even more GM-Techniques. Ban GM-crops from Australia. Permaculture is the future.

Yours sincerely, Mona El Baradie

_____ This email was sent by Mona El Baradie via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Mona provided an email address (melbaradie@bluewin.ch) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Mona El Baradie at melbaradie@bluewin.ch.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Alberto Bernués <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 9:40 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Products from new genetic modification techniques should be strictly regulated as GMOs.

I adhere the conclusions from ENSSER <https://ensser.org/publications/ngmt-statement/>

Yours sincerely, Alberto Bernués

_____ This email was sent by Alberto Bernués via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Alberto provided an email address (abernues@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Alberto Bernués at abernues@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Adele Bradley <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 9:42 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Dear Folks,

I write to you as a citizen of the USofA, concerned with the quality of the food in the planet's food chain. I am opposed to all GMO and technique in our food supply, especially without significant study.

Genetic modification techniques pose unique risks and all new genetic modification techniques should be assessed for safety before being allowed in our food. They should also be labelled so we are fully informed. This includes gene editing, GM rootstock grafting, cisgenesis, intragenesis RNA interference and null segregants.

CRISPR was only invented 5 years ago . Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks (e.g. off target effects) posed by new GM techniques such as CRISPR. They recommended that products derived from these techniques require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

Gene editing techniques cause DNA double strand breaks and can be used sequentially to make dramatic differences to DNA. They are also prone to additional unexpected mutations. The risks associated with these techniques warrant pre-market safety assessment and approval.

RNA interference is a GM technique and can result in heritable genetic changes so must also be assessed for safety before being used in our food.

GM plants, animals and microbes all pose unique risks so assessing the GM production process is appropriate.

European Network of Scientists for Social and Environmental Responsibility's statement on new GM techniques concludes that they "should be strictly regulated as GMOs." I agree.

Yours sincerely,

Adèle Bradley

This email was sent by Adele Bradley via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Adele provided an email address (Adelevb@aol.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Adele Bradley at Adelevb@aol.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Alexander van Dort <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 9:51 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

If the biotechnology industry gets its way, Australia will be the first country in the world to deregulate a range of new genetic modification (GM) techniques in animals, plants and microbes. They will enter our food chain and our environment with no safety testing and no labelling. The risks are enormous and the results could be catastrophic.

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

In studies from Harvard School in USA it is signalled that not only the specific code in DNA material is to be considered but also the total (system) of the DNA in which something is changed by Crisp or other means. Change of a part has impact on the total DNA. It is unknown the consequences how the total will be influenced when a part of the DNA is changed. Stringent food testing must be made before allowing changes in the DNA material to be used.

Yours sincerely, Alexander van Dort

_____ This email was sent by Alexander van Dort via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Alexander provided an email address (a.van.dort@freeler.nl) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Alexander van Dort at a.van.dort@freeler.nl.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Donald R.Davis <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:15 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Comments on. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

+ The newest gene-editing techniques have not been sufficiently studied, and are already known to have off-target effects. + It is inappropriate to downplay these gene editing techniques as “breeding techniques.” They are NOT breeding techniques. + The potential risks are too high for gene-editing to be exempted from safety testing and labeling. Consumers have a right to know and to choose. + Australia should not abandon the precautionary principle.

_____ This email was sent by Donald R. Davis via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Donald R. provided an email address (d.r.davis@utexas.edu) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Donald R. Davis at d.r.davis@utexas.edu.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Betty C Dudney <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:19 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques' Please no GMO's in our foods, without consumer knowledge and sufficient labeling. This is new technology that has potential dangers to all of us and prevention is the bes...

Yours sincerely, Betty C Dudney

_____ This email was sent by Betty C Dudney via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Betty C provided an email address (equality4peace@yahoo.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Betty C Dudney at equality4peace@yahoo.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Roland Gilmore <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:27 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

It's looking as if Australia might have the dubious distinction of being the first country in the world to deregulate the use of new GM techniques such as CRISPR and RNA interference in animals, crops and microbes.

Deregulation without Environmental Impact Studies, safety testing, labelling etc. would result in enormous risks and the results could be catastrophic. Australia should not need to be reminded of the Cane Toad disaster.

Products from these new GM techniques will enter our food chain and our environment with no safety testing and no labelling. The risks are enormous and the results could be catastrophic for Australian exports.

The European Network of Scientists for Social and Environmental Responsibility's statement on new GM techniques concludes that they "should be strictly regulated as GMOs". A ban on the import of biotech altered crops and other organisms into European countries looks inevitable as Australia pursues deregulation. There are also malevolent uses of biotech techniques to consider i.e. security issues.

Yours sincerely,

Roland Gilmore

This email was sent by Roland Gilmore via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Roland provided an email address (roland.w.gilmore@gmail.com) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Roland Gilmore at roland.w.gilmore@gmail.com.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Jan Diek van Mansvelt <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:45 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Reviews commissioned by the Austrian and Norwegian governments concluded that not enough is known about the risks these new GM techniques pose. They recommended that products derived from them require comprehensive case-by-case risk assessments.

So please make sure consumers – the public – the voters – can clearly see from all products labels whether any kind of GMO technique is applied in any ingredient of that product!

Transparency and honesty are dearly required!

Yours sincerely, Jan Diek van Mansvelt

_____ This email was sent by Jan Diek van Mansvelt via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Jan Diek provided an email address (jandiek@vanmansvelt.nl) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Jan Diek van Mansvelt at jandiek@vanmansvelt.nl.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.

NBT Consult Submissions

From: Bert Zijlstra <campaigns@good.do>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:55 PM
To: NBT Consult Submissions; Joel Fitzgibbon; David Littleproud; Tony Zappia; Bridget McKenzie; Niall Blair; Jill Hennessy; Steven Miles; Alannah MacTiernan; Meegan Fitzharris
Subject: Submission re. Consultation paper on Food derived using 'new breeding techniques'

Based on available and independent scientific research ANY Genetically Modified product is not only unnatural, but unhealthy. In my opinion it is very unwise to make these products available as they are a serious health hazard.

Yours sincerely, Bert Zijlstra

_____ This email was sent by Bert Zijlstra via Do Gooder, a website that allows people to contact you regarding issues they consider important. In accordance with web protocol FC 3834 we have set the FROM field of this email to our generic no-reply address at campaigns@good.do, however Bert provided an email address (g.k.zijlstra@upcmail.nl) which we included in the REPLY-TO field.

Please reply to Bert Zijlstra at g.k.zijlstra@upcmail.nl.

To learn more about Do Gooder visit www.dogooder.co To learn more about web protocol FC 3834 visit: www.rfc-base.org/rfc-3834.html

NOTE: Recipients of this email listed as <contact-forms@good.do> were actually sent the message via their online contact forms.